



Jim Bugel • Executive Director • phone 202.419.3004 • fax 202.419.3030

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

June 17, 2003

RECEIVED

JUN 17 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Wireless Local Number Portability
CC Docket No. 95-116, EX PARTE

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 13, 2003, Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular") represented by Brian Fontes, Vice President of Federal Relations and Jim Bugel, Executive Director for Federal Regulatory Affairs, met with Sam Feder, Legal Advisor for Commissioner Kevin J. Martin. The parties discussed FCC mandates imposed on the wireless industry and the classification of these mandates into two broad categories: 1) those rules addressing the safety of life and property, e.g., E-911, CALEA and multiple homeland security directives; and 2) those rules adopted for customer convenience, e.g., Local Number Portability. In addition, Cingular noted that due to limited capital resources of the wireless telecommunications sector, the priority should be given to those rules addressing the safety of life and property, such as E-911, CALEA and homeland security, especially as we better prepare our nation for the war on terrorism. The government should defer mandates providing "customer convenience", such as wireless Local Number Portability, to a later time, perhaps tied to the complete deployment of E-911.

The attached document was used for discussion purposes. Please associate this notification and the accompanying document with the referenced docket proceeding.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules, this notification is being submitted to your office. If you have any questions concerning this submission, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Jim Bugel
Executive Director-Federal Regulatory Affairs
Attachment

Cc: Sam Feder


No. of Copies rec'd OHL
List A B C D E



The Wireless Revolution: Without Regulatory Prioritization, Are Consumer Benefits Sustainable?

 cingularTM
WIRELESS


Domestic Consumers Currently Enjoy Freedom of Choice




Intense price competition encourages consumers to "carrier hop" for the best deal, and results in high acquisition costs as carriers battle for market share.

Annual Customer Churn:

U. S. National Carriers vs. Europe




Customer Acquisition Costs: U. S. versus Europe




Source: Endicott, Dominic. "Is Low Telecom ROIC Here to Stay, and How Long Will Investors Bear This?" Booz Allen Hamilton, March 14, 2003

Great Deal for Consumers- How About Investors?



Equity values have plummeted relative to the S&P 500 as investors express concerns about the industry's health.


**Relative Equity Returns -
Wireless Compared to the S&P 500**



- High capital investment requirements
- Negative cash flow
- Strained balance sheets


Source: Bloomberg Data Base

Negative Returns Threaten Future Investment



Wireless requires willing investors in order to attract needed capital to upgrade networks and develop advanced voice and data products.


2002 Return on Average Capital Employed (ROACE)



- Wireless is not earning its cost of capital
 - Industry return on capital just 4.8%
 - Cost of capital is about 11%
- Future investment requirements at risk
 - Additional network capacity
 - Upgrade to 3G
 - Data products and services


Source: Company, U. S. and European average ROACE provided by: Mutschler, Linda. "The Next Generation VII." Merrill Lynch, February 21, 2003

Domestic Wireless = Poor Margins + High Capital Needs




Wireless requires willing investors in order to attract needed capital to upgrade networks and develop advanced voice and data products.

U.S. and European EBITDA Margins (2002)



Cumulative Capex Per Sub - U. S. Versus Europe



Lower Revenue per Minute
Higher Minutes of Use
+ Higher Churn
+ Higher Acquisition Costs


Lower Profit Margins

=

Higher Capital Requirements


Bath, Blake. "Gaining Maturity...Often Isn't Pretty." Lehman Brothers, March 27, 2003

Low Margins and High Capital Needs Discourage Investors



Domestic wireless carriers are not earning their cost of capital and are significantly underperforming their international counterparts.


EBITDA Margin Net of Expense and Capital Investment




Negative economic returns cannot continue indefinitely, and investors will continue to avoid the wireless industry until returns improve.

Source: Bath, Blake. "Gaining Maturity...Often Isn't Pretty." Lehman Brothers, March 27, 2003

Investors Needed!



Domestic wireless carriers produce negative cash flow and will require additional investment to fund continued infrastructure expansion and development.



Continued decreases in capital investment will be required unless profitability improves.

Source: Bath, Blake. "Gaining Maturity...Often Isn't Pretty." Lehman Brothers, March 27, 2003


Unnecessary Mandates Cost Consumers & Weaken the Industry

cingular[®] WIRELESS


Eliminate mandates, such as local number portability (LNP), that impose an unnecessary cost on consumers and carriers.

- Consumers already enjoy, and exercise, freedom to switch carriers
 - Churn rate much higher than Europe
 - Customer acquisition costs high, approximately \$345
- WNP could increase churn
- Cost could reach \$10B over 3 years based on incremental churn/month of 0.5%
- Less investment available to build and expand infrastructure
- Weakened technology position relative to international markets
- Fewer advanced products and services
- Increased costs to consumers

**Industry Cost of Incremental Churn
2004 through 2006**



Public Policies Should Promote Fair Competition



Public policies should promote a fair playing field for all competitors, and the marketplace should determine the winners.

- The federal government regulations imposed on the wireless industry can be classified in two broad categories; 1) those rules addressing the safety of life and property, e.g., E9-1-1, CALEA and multiple homeland security directives; and 2) those rules adopted for customer convenience, e.g., Local Number Portability.
- Today, due to limited resources of the telecommunications sector, the priority should be given to those rules addressing the safety of life and property, such as E911, CALEA and homeland security, especially as we better prepare our nation for the war on terrorism. The government should defer "convenience" mandates, such as Wireless Local Number Portability, to a later time, perhaps tied to the deployment of E-911.

Appendix




Table 44: Wireless Number Portability Impact Assessment

(Millions)	2004E	2005E	2006E	Total 2004E Thru 2006E
Beginning Industry Subscribers	152.2	161.2	168.7	
Ending Industry Subscribers	161.2	168.7	174.9	
Industry Net Additions	9.0	7.5	6.2	
Average Industry Subscribers	156.7	164.9	171.8	
Penetration	54.7%	56.7%	58.2%	
CPGA	\$354	\$344	\$334	
Incremental Churn/Month				
0.1%	\$666	\$680	\$687	\$2,033
0.2%	1,331	1,360	1,375	4,066
0.3%	1,997	2,039	2,062	6,099
0.5%	3,329	3,399	3,437	10.165

Source: Merrill Lynch Research Estimates